INTAKE DROP BOX RECEIVED & FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PHERTOLRICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CLERKX OFF BUT MS. DISTRIC: RAM (UK)	
Plaintiff,	X	••
V.	X X	No. 3:12-cv-2039
COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RIC	X O, and X	(GAG)
the PUERTO RICO POLICE DEPAR	•	,
Defendants;	X X	
JORGE DIAZ CASTRO,	X	
as Defendant – Intervenor.	X X	
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	X XXXXXXX	

MOTION REQUESTING EXPLICIT ORDER, EITHER IN RECONSIDERATION OF MOTION OR REQUESTING FURTHER CLARIFICATION OF ORDER

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

- 1. I, Jorge Diaz Castro, proposed Intervening Defendant in the instant case, move to request an explicit Order be issued by the Honourable Gustavo A. Gelpí, Presiding Judge, either in clarification of his Order(s) dated November 8th, 2013 (Docket No. 91), and November 18th, 2013 (Docket No. 94); or in reconsideration of my "Motion Requesting Information from the Record" and "Memorandum of Law" in support, filed on November 6th, 2013 (Docket No. 90); and my "Motion Requesting Clarification of Order" and "Memorandum of Law" in support, filed on November 15th, 2013 (Docket No. 93).
- 2. As PRO SE Appellant in cases No. 13-2079 and 13-2306, I hereby respectfully request that the Honourable Court carefully review the document at Docket No. 93. Continued...

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO MOTION REQUESTING EXPLICIT ORDER No. 3:12-cv-2039 Page No. 2.

- 3. There is the possibility that there are material facts in relation to the budgetary issues discussed in various court proceedings that have not been made public.
- 4. This is an important issue on my appeal(s).
- 5. In response to my request for clarification of Order, the Honourable Gustavo A. Gelpí, in his Order dated November 18th, 2013 (Docket No. 91), stated (in reiteration): Order noted 93 Motion to clarify. All transcripts [of in court proceedings] will be prepared and made part of record as per Court's orders. Signed by Gustavo A. Gelpí on 11/18/2013. *Id.*
- 6. On November 25th, 2013, I filed a "Motion Requesting a Statement of Facts and/or Issues" and "Memorandum of Law" in support thereof (Docket No. 95); which was denied on November 26th, 2013 (Docket No. 96).
- 7. In the "Motion Requesting Clarification of Order" filed on November 15th, 2013 (Docket No. 93), I stated that I was interested in the transcription of an in camera Settlement Conference that took place on April 11th, 2013, and during which Ms. Evilys Brathwaite was present as court reporter, as per the minute entry for proceedings dated 04/11/2013 (Docket No. 43):

Minute Entry for Proceedings held before Judge Gustavo A. Gelpí on 04/11/2013. Present *in court* [emphasis added] were: AUSA Luis E. Saucedo, atty Carlos del Valle on behalf of the defendants (PR) and Amicus Curiae.... *Id*.

Furthermore, this minute entry (Docket No. 43) was a retrievable document in PACER, with additional information therein specified; but currently, cannot be retrieved at all.

Continued...

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO MOTION REQUESTING EXPLICIT ORDER No. 3:12-cv-2039

Page No. 3.

8. In the same document (Docket No. 93) I requested the transcript of an in-court Settlement Conference on July 17th, 2013, during which Ms. Amy Walker was present as court reporter, as per Order dated July 15th, 2013 (Docket No. 56):

Order. Settlement Conference reset for 07/17/2013 5:00 P.M. *in Old San Juan Courtroom* [emphasis added] before Judge Gustavo A. Gelpí. Signed by Gustavo A. Gelpí on 11/15/2013. *Id.*

- 9. The corresponding minute entry, dated July 17th, 2013 (Docket No. 58), states that Ms. Amy Walker was present as Court Reporter.
- 10. However, in spite of the Honourable Court's Orders dated November 8th, 2013 (Docket No. 91) and November 18th, 2013 (Docket No. 94), no order was issued as to the transcripts that were to be prepared after December 1st, 2013; at least, it does not appear as such after carefully reviewing the docket.
- 11. On December 2, 2013, however, there appears a transcript of an in-court 5 tatus Conference held on October 30, 2013 (Docket No. 98); whereby a Technical Compliance Advisor was appointed; purposefully withholding any information in regards to the monetary compensation to be received.
- 12. However, no transcript has been done and/or ordered for the in-court proceedings that took place on April 11th, 2013; and July 17th, 2013.
- 13. We respectfully request the Honourable Court to issue an explicit order for the transcript of the above in-court proceedings from Ms. Evilys Brathwaire and Ms. Amy Walker; respectively.

Continued...

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO MOTION REQUESTING EXPLICIT ORDER

No. 3:12-cv-2039

Page No. 4.

14. Although the Honourable Gustavo A. Gelpí issued no order in this respect, he

must be aware that there is no transcript for these in-court proceedings, as previously

specified.

WHEREFORE, proposed Intervening Defendant Jorge Díaz Castro respectfully

requests such explicit order requesting transcripts of in-court proceedings as is specified

in the instant motion, to comply with the Orders issued by the Honourable Gustavo A.

Gelpí, as specified above; to comply with the request by the Honourable First Circuit

Court of Appeals, as required by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure No. 10(b)(1) and

Local Rule 10(a) of the Honourable First Circuit Court of Appeals.

CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that today I filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the

Court which, on information and belief, shall automatically notify counsel of record which

are CM/ECF system participants at the corresponding e-mail address, and which

pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5, constitutes the equivalent service.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, in San Juan, P.R., on this day of January, 2014.

Jorge Díaz Castro

PRO SE

P.O. Box #9021288

San Juan, P.R. 00902-1288

Email: transcripciones@mail.com